UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,127	12/29/2003	Joseph T. Wissmann	600177-072	1749
74685 IBM CORP (T	7590 12/31/2007 DRE)(LOT, CAM)		EXAMINER	
C/O DRIER LLP			AHLUWALIA, NAVNEET K	
499 PARK AV NEW YORK, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	
	•			·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/748,127	WISSMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Navneet K. Ahluwalia	2166				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	ctober 2007.					
- · / · · / ·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,16-25 and 32-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,16-25 and 32-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- Claims 1 10, 16 25 and 32 40 are pending in this Office Action. After a further search and a thorough examination of the present application, claims 1 10, 16 25 and 32 40 remain rejected.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 1 10, 16 25 and 32 40 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that there is no teaching in Tal of database synchronization, sending the framework to a location of one or more copies of the database for executing to update, and determining changes made to a first copy of the database.

In response to Applicant's argument, the Examiner submits that Tal teaches the changes being made to the first copy of the database in column 5 lines 46 – 55.

10/748,127 Art Unit: 2166

Furthermore in the same citation is describes the updating of the database. Also in column 8 lines 1 – 15 Tal discloses a log directory and a log events flag from which all changes made can be determined. The database synchronization is only claimed in the preamble and since all the other steps are disclosed the method of instant application is disclosed in Tal. Furthermore, the sending of the framework to a location of one or more copies for executing the updates is disclosed in column 5 lines 46 – 55.

Claims 16 and 32 recite the same subject matter and for the same reasons as cited above the rejection is maintained.

Hence, Applicant's arguments do not distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art of record. In light of the foregoing arguments, the 102 rejections are sustained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 16 - 25 and 32 - 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Given the broadest interpretation to claims 16 and 32 they are rendered to be a system claim with components that are software per se and a computer program product having components of software per se. Therefore the claims fall under non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1 – 10, 16 – 25 and 32 – 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tal et al. ('Tal' herein after) (US 7,107,589 B1).

With respect to claim 1, 16 and 32,

Tal discloses a method for synchronization of copies of a database, comprising: determining changes made to a schema of a first copy of the database; generating a migration script according to the changes made to the schema (column 3 lines 27 - 35, Tal); incorporating the migration script into a framework (column 3 lines 41 - 51, Tal); sending the framework having the migration script incorporated therein to a location of one or more other copies of the database for executing to update the one or more other copies of the database (Figures 1 - 3, column 5 lines 46 - 55, Tal).

With respect to claim 2, 17 and 33,

Application/Control Number:

10/748,127 Art Unit: 2166

Tal discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the migration script includes SQL instructions (column 6 lines 20 – 36, Tal).

With respect to claim 3,

Tal discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the migration script includes instructions in the form of a derivative of SQL (column 6 lines 20 - 36, Tal).

With respect to claim 4, 19, and 34,

Tal discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the migration script includes executable code (column 6 lines 57 - 67 and column 7 lines 1 - 15, Tal).

With respect to claim 5, 19 and 35,

Tal discloses the method of claim 4, wherein the executable code comprises

Java code (column 7 lines 5 – 39, Tal).

With respect to claim 6, 20 and 36,

Tal discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of reading the changes comprises comparing a stored snapshot of the schema of the first copy of the database to a current schema of the first copy of the database (column 9 lines 46 - 67 and column 10 lines 1 - 5, Tal).

With respect to claim 7, 21 and 36,

Application/Control Number:

10/748,127 Art Unit: 2166

Tal discloses the method of claim 1, wherein at least one of the one or more other copies of the database comprises a master copy of the database (column 9 lines 11 – 26, Tal).

With respect to claim 8, 22 and 37,

Tal discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of sending comprises sending the framework by electronic mail (column 8 lines 57 – 62, Tal).

With respect to claim 9, 23, 24, 38 and 39,

Tal discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of sending comprises sending the framework through a source code control system (column 8 lines 40-62, Tal).

With respect to claim 10, 25 and 40,

Tal discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of sending comprises sending the framework by storing the framework on a floppy disk and sending the floppy disk by a physical mail service (if the data was stored on a disk it would be obvious that it could be sent by physical mail, column 8 lines 57 – 62, Tal).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Navneet K. Ahluwalia whose telephone number is 571-

272-5636.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alam T. Hosain can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Navneet K. Ahluwalia

Examiner

Art Unit 2166

HOSAIN ALAM

Dated: 12/21/2007